



CERTSWARRIOR

CLEP

Western-Civilization-II
CLEP Western Civilization II Exam

Questions & Answers PDF

For More Information:

<https://www.certswarrior.com/>

Features:

- 90 Days Free Updates
- 30 Days Money Back Guarantee
- Instant Download Once Purchased
- 24/7 Online Chat Support
- Its Latest Version

Latest Version: 6.0

Question: 1

Europe's Thirty Years' War was popularly known as

- A. a war of economy.
- B. a war of religion.
- C. a war of power.
- D. a war of commerce.
- E. a war of reform.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The Thirty Years' War (1618-1648) in Europe was known as a "war of religion: It was fought among Lutherans, Catholics, and Calvinists in central Europe. It was not called a "war of economy" (a) and moreover was not fought over economic issues. It was not called a "war of power" (c), even though it was fought over issues of political power. Indeed power was more important to this war than was religion, for which this war was named: however, since church and state were not yet separated at this time, religion and politics were so intertwined that political plotting and the ensuing warfare always involved religious issues as well. As with economy, commerce (d) was not used to describe this war. Although the treaty ending this war did cement changes to religious practices that were begun by the Protestant Reformation, the war itself was never designated as a "war of reform" (e).

Question: 2

Regarding the Treaty of Westphalia, all but which of these is factual?

- A. It politically recognized the Swiss Confederacy (Switzerland) as independent.
- B. It politically recognized the United Provinces of Holland as independent
- C. It accomplished the preparation for the politics in Europe to become secularized.
- D. d It provided for reparations to France, which had undergone severe war damages.
- E. It was met with opposition by the Pope, but it was nonetheless signed.

Answer: D

Explanation:

It is not a fact that the Treaty of Westphalia provided for reparations to France, or even that France had undergone war damages. In fact the majority of the Thirty Years' War was fought in central Europe, and none of it was fought in France at all, so this answer is untrue. It is a fact that this treaty gave political recognition to the independence of the countries that are now Switzerland (a) and Holland (b). The Treaty of Westphalia also prepared the way for political

secularization of Europe (c). In addition, this treaty marked the decrease in the Pope's influence over Europe: He stated he was against the treaty but the signing parties paid no attention to his objections (e).

Question: 3

Which of the following statements is correct regarding the Hapsburg Empire after 1648?

- A. The Hapsburg Empire shared postwar stability and homogeneity with Prussia.
- B. The Hapsburg Empire had military forces known for functioning smoothly after the war.
- C. Following the war, the Hapsburg Empire presided over a significant number of Muslims.
- D. After the war, the Hapsburg Empire included various languages and cultural groups.
- E. After the war, the Hapsburg Empire included various religious and political groups.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Following the Thirty Years' War, the Hapsburg Empire, ruled by the monarchy of the Hapsburg royal family, included many Muslims from Hungary after it was freed from the rule of the Turkish Ottoman Empire. It is not correct to say that the Hapsburg Empire was stable or homogenous like Prussia following the war (a). Unlike Prussia, the Hapsburg Empire was made up of a diverse population. This heterogeneity made the empire unstable for the majority of its existence until it ended after World War I. The diversity in the Hapsburg Empire caused major differences among its members in languages and cultures (d) and in religious and political beliefs (e). As a result, even the empire's military forces did not run smoothly (b) as they were made up of people from various regions with different backgrounds, customs, and attitudes.

Question: 4

Which statement is true regarding the political philosophies of Thomas Hobbes, Bishop Bossuet, Cardinal Richelieu, and John Locke?

- A. Hobbes, Bossuet, and Richelieu disagreed with Locke on the right to rule a country.
- B. The English, Hobbes and Locke, disagreed with the French, Bossuet and Richelieu.
- C. Hobbes and Richelieu concurred and were opposed to Bossuet and Locke who agreed with each other.
- D. Hobbes, Bossuet, Richelieu, and Locke all agreed about what gave the right to rule.
- E. Hobbes, Bossuet, Richelieu, and Locke each disagreed individually about this issue.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Thomas Hobbes was an absolutist who believed that a leader should have absolute power to protect the populace from their own baser instincts. (The title of Hobbes' famous book, *Leviathan*, refers to such an absolute ruler.) Bishop Bossuet, who was the tutor to King Louis XIV, not only agreed with absolutism, but moreover believed in the divine right of kings in the tradition of the

Carolingians and Charlemagne. Cardinal Richelieu, who was French Secretary of State and King Louis XIII's chief minister, had similar conservative views and had established the political and religious practices which Bossuet then maintained and furthered. In contrast to these three, the English Enlightenment philosopher John Locke believed not in a ruler's divine or absolute right to lead, but in a leader's earning the right to lead by observing the natural human rights to life, liberty, and property. Moreover, Locke believed in the right, and even the duty, of the people to overthrow a ruler who violated these human rights. Thus (b) is not true: the two Frenchmen did agree, but the two Englishmen did not agree with one another. Locke and Bossuet did not agree, so (c) is untrue. Since Locke held a different view than the other three is not true that they all agreed.

Question: 5

Which Of these statements is not accurate concerning events between 1640 and 1660 surrounding the English Civil War?

- A. Parliament's army led by Oliver Cromwell defeated King Charles I's army.
- B. Following a victory, Puritans eliminated all Presbyterians from Parliament.
- C. The Rump Parliament annihilated the monarchy and the House of Lords.
- D. King Charles I was executed the same year the monarchy was wiped out.
- E. All of these statements are accurate regarding events surrounding the English Civil War.

Answer: E

Explanation:

After Parliament impeached many of Charles I's ministers, destroyed Charles' judiciary system, and voided the taxes Charles had imposed independently of Parliament, all in 1640, Charles' attempt to secure the arrest for treason of five MPs triggered the English Civil War. Parliament (the "Roundheads") formed the New Model Army with Oliver Cromwell as its leader, and they defeated King Charles I's armed forces (a), the Cavaliers. Following this, the victorious Puritans removed all Presbyterians from Parliament (b). The remnants were known as the Rump Parliament, which in 1649 eradicated both the monarchy and the House of Lords division of Parliament. In the same year, Charles was executed. After more MPs were eliminated, Cromwell and the Barebones Parliament ran the country with fairly stable conditions until Cromwell died in 1658. By 1660, public opinion in England held that the monarchy should be reinstated.

Question: 6

Which of the following statements is incorrect regarding England's Glorious Revolution?

- A. One outcome of this revolution was the crowning of William and Mary as leaders.
- B. One outcome of the revolution was Parliament's writing an English Bill of Rights.
- C. One reason that this revolution occurred was fighting between Whigs and Tories.
- D. One reason that this revolution was called "glorious" was its minimal casualties.
- E. The English Bill of Rights influenced America's Constitution and Bill of Rights.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The Whigs and the Tories in England did disagree at one point. but by the advent of the Glorious Revolution, Whigs and Tories in Parliament agreed about the revolution. The Whigs had wanted to stop King Charles II's brother James from succeeding to the throne because James was Catholic while the Tories supported Charles II. Once James II did succeed to the throne, he exempted Catholics from laws that had prevented them from serving in the armed forces, the court system, and local government. This led both Whigs and Tories in Parliament to reunite against what they saw as a common enemy: the reversion of England from Protestantism to Catholicism. Thus the Glorious Revolution was neither a conflict between Whigs and Tories nor a result of any such conflict. Parliament invited the Dutch Republic's William III of Orange, James II's nephew, and William's wife Mary, James' oldest daughter, to overtake the English throne. William accepted the offer and invaded England. This did lead to the crowning of William and Mary (a). Another result was the drafting by Parliament of the English Bill of Rights. One reason this revolution was called 'glorious' was its minimal casualties (d). [Note: This revolution is often called 'bloodless' which is not entirely accurate. However, compared to other revolutions, there were few battles, relatively little blood was shed, and few people died.] Since James ultimately fled the country, William's invasion met with little resistance. This revolution was also called 'glorious' because it ended absolute rule in England and can be said to be the start of modern Parliamentary democracy in England. It is true that the English Bill of Rights influenced the Constitution and Bill of Rights (e): in fact, it had a major influence on these documents: The English Bill of Rights prevented rulers from making laws without the approval of Parliament; the American counterpart to this is the provision that Presidents cannot pass laws without the approval of Congress. The English Bill of Rights also provided protection to citizens against 'cruel and unusual punishment' and excessive bail, both provisions also found in America; and it established the right of citizens to take up grievances with the government, also a right in America.

Question: 7

What did Peter the Great of Russia not do with respect to the West in the late 1600s?

- A. He influenced Russia's government officers and upper class to speak in the French language.
- B. He influenced Russia's government officers and upper class to wear more Western fashions.
- C. He influenced wealthy Russians to import Western art and educate their children in Europe.
- D. He extended the already strong influences Russia had been deriving from Western cultures.
- E. He built a new capital, St. Petersburg and established state control over the Orthodox Church.

Answer: D

Explanation:

He did not extend already strong influences of Western culture to Russia. Rather, he instituted more Western influences in Russia, which until his reign had not been deriving much cultural influence from the West at all. Peter changed this. He did cause the influential people in Russian government and upper classes to make it fashionable to speak French (a), to wear Western clothing styles (b), to import fine art from Europe and send their children to Europe to be educated (c). In addition, he built St. Petersburg to Russia's new capital, located in the western part of Russia.

near Europe, and in imitating Western governmental practices, he established state control over the Russian Orthodox Church (e). He also imitated Western organizational strategies with respect to the armed forces and the economy, With the result that he strengthened both Of these.

Question: 8

Which of the following statements is not true regarding the Huguenots?

- A. The policies of King Louis XIV caused many Huguenots to leave France.
- B. The Huguenots were French Catholics who were driven out by Protestants.
- C. Many Huguenots who left France settled in England, helping its economy.
- D. Many Huguenots who left France settled in Prussia, boosting its economy.
- E. Huguenots settling in the USA contributed to the ancestry of 11 presidents.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The statement that is not true regarding the Huguenots is (b): The Huguenots were French Protestants, not Catholics, and they were driven out by Catholics, not Protestants. This was largely due to the policies of King Louis XIV (a). In 1685, he revoked the 1598 Edict of Nantes, which had provided for a modicum of religious toleration. Thereafter, Louis XIV effectively pushed many

Question: 9

Of these English words or names, which set is not a legacy of the Dutch Republic and language?

- A. Manhattan, Harlem, Brooklyn, Yankees
- B. boss, coleslaw, waffle
- C. Santa Claus, cookie, bundle
- D. knapsack, cruise, skate
- E. All of these words come from Dutch.

Answer: E

Explanation:

These words and names all come from Dutch. New York's Manhattan, Harlem, and Brooklyn were all named by Dutch settlers from their language. and the etymology of Yankees is the Dutch name Jan Kees. The word "boss" meaning an employer or a controlling politician comes from Dutch [the word baas meaning "master"], as do "coleslaw" [koolwa in Dutch] and "waffle" [wafel in Dutch] (b). The name of "Santa Claus" is from the Dutch Sinterklaas, as are the words "cookie" [koekje in Dutch] and "bundle" (bundel in Dutch) (c). "Knapsack" is derived from the Dutch word knapsak; "cruise" comes from the Dutch kruisen, and "skate" developed from the Dutch schaats.



CERTSWARRIOR

FULL PRODUCT INCLUDES:

Money Back Guarantee



Instant Download after Purchase



90 Days Free Updates



PDF Format Digital Download



24/7 Live Chat Support



Latest Syllabus Updates



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.certswarrior.com>

16 USD Discount Coupon Code: U89DY2AQ